

SHORT REPORT

Open Access

An overview of select cannabis use and supply indicators pre- and post-legalization in Canada



Benedikt Fischer^{1,2,3*} , Angelica Lee¹, Tessa Robinson^{1,4} and Wayne Hall^{5,6}

Abstract

Background: Canada implemented the legalization and regulation of non-medical cannabis use, production and sale in 2018 aiming to improve public health and safety. While outcomes from legalization reforms in other jurisdictions mostly rely on US-based data have been assessed to be mixed, Canadian data are only emerging. We compiled select population-level data on key indicators to gauge initial developments from pre- to post-legalization of cannabis in Canada.

Methods: We examined indicators data focusing on the following topics: prevalence of cannabis use, frequency of use, methods/products of consumption, driving after cannabis use, and cannabis sourcing. Indicator data were obtained mostly from national and some provincial population surveys. Prevalence or percentages for the indicators pre- and post-legalization (e.g., 2017- 2020), including confidence intervals were reported, with changes noted, as available in and indicated by the data sources.

Results: Data suggested selected increases in cannabis use prevalence, mostly among mid- and older- but possibly also younger (e.g., under legal use age) users. Frequency of use and driving after cannabis use among active users do not appear to have changed. Methods of cannabis use show diversifying trends, with decreases in smoking and increases in alternatives use modes (e.g., edibles, vaping). There is a clearly increasing trend towards accessing cannabis from legal sources among adults, while under-legal-use-age youth do not appear to experience heightened barriers to obtaining cannabis in legalization contexts.

Conclusions: Preliminary indicators on cannabis legalization in Canada show a mixed picture, some similar to US-based developments. While some use increases are observed, these do not necessarily represent indications of increases in cannabis-related harm, also since key (e.g., hospitalization or injury) data are lacking to date. There is a gradual embracing of legal supply sources of cannabis among users, which can be expected to serve public health and safety objectives. At the same time, cannabis use and access among under-age users as a principally vulnerable group do not appear to be hindered or reduced by legalization.

Keywords: Canada, cannabis, Legalization, Outcomes, Population, Surveys, Use, Policy, Public health, Supply, Youth

* Correspondence: bfischer@sfu.ca

¹Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health and Addiction (CARMHA), Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Suite 2400 515 W. Hastings Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6B 5K3, Canada

²Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, 250 College Street 8th floor, Toronto, Ontario M5T 1R8, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

In October 2018, Canada implemented the legalization and regulation of non-medical cannabis use, production and sale. This policy was enacted to allow adults to use cannabis, reduce the illicit market, and improve public health and safety while minimizing cannabis use among youth [1, 2]. A handful of other jurisdictions have implemented non-medical cannabis legalization (e.g., Uruguay, several US states), are in the process of doing so (Mexico) or have considered it (e.g., Switzerland, New Zealand) [3].

Under Canada's cannabis legalization regime, a mix of federal and provincial regulations stipulate a minimum age for legal cannabis use/purchase (18 years in Alberta, 21 in Quebec and 19 years in all other provinces), restrict places-of-use and ban advertising. They also regulate the legal availability of a variety of commercially produced cannabis (including herbal/flower, oils, extracts/concentrates, vape, edibles/drinkable) products via provincially managed (public and/or private) retail systems. There are provisions for limited 'home-growing' and a personal possession limit (30 g) for cannabis in most provinces. Cannabis-impaired driving is prohibited on the basis of defined per-se limits [4].

Cannabis legalization remains a 'policy experiment' with uncertain outcomes. The largest amount of evidence on the impacts of legalization has been based on US data that have shown mixed results [5, 6]. These include: some increases in cannabis use (mostly in older but also in other user groups) and use-related risk behaviors (e.g., increased frequency of use and the use of higher-potency cannabis products); a diversification of cannabis products and methods of use; and select increases in hospitalizations (e.g., for poisonings). There do not appear to be increases in treatment admissions for cannabis use disorders and data on changes in cannabis-related traffic fatalities are mixed [7–10]. Mixed results for key outcomes have been observed for Uruguay as well [11–13].

Canada represents an important case study for cannabis legalization. It is a G-7 nation that implemented a national, public health-oriented framework of legalization that may serve as a roadmap for other jurisdictions considering similar cannabis policy reforms. As argued elsewhere, the overall public health and safety impacts of cannabis legalization mostly depend on the development of a set of primary cannabis-related behaviors and harm outcomes [14]. As Canada finds itself approximately three-years since the implementation of non-medical cannabis legalization and in contexts of only limited other outcome data [15], we summarize selected key population-based indicators towards a preliminary assessment of developments in these outcomes from pre- to post- legalization of cannabis.

Methods

We identified primary, population-based indicators of cannabis-related behaviors relevant to public health outcomes with pre- and post-legalization data. Where available, we used national, and some select provincial population data indicators, including from the following data sources. The *National Cannabis Survey (NCS)* is a provincially stratified, electronic household-survey (initial sample ~ 12,000 households) of a randomized sample of respondents aged 15 and up, which has been conducted in quarterly waves since 2018 to assess changes in cannabis-related behaviors and outcomes [16–18]. The *Canadian Cannabis Survey (CCS)* is an online survey that assesses cannabis-related behaviours and attitudes, based on an annual (since 2017), pan-Canadian, purposive, random-digit-dialing-recruited sample (> 10,000) of individuals aged 16 years and older [19–22]. The *Canadian Student Tobacco, Alcohol, and Drugs Survey (CSTADS)* is a biennial, stratified, pan-Canadian survey of secondary school students grades 7-12 (i.e., mostly ages 13-18 years) that collects data on substance use behaviors, attitudes and health [23–25]. The *Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH) Monitor* is a long-running, representative, telephone-recruited and -interview-based, biennial, cross-sectional household survey of the general adult population age 18 years+ in Ontario, Canada's most populous province that focuses on substance use-related behaviors, attitudes and health [26]. The *International Cannabis Policy Study (ICPS)* is a multi-wave, web-based, consumer panel-based survey of a non-probability sample of respondents ages 16-65 conducted in Canada and select other countries. The survey's wave 2 was conducted in Canada in September/October 2019 [1, 27]. The *Ontario Cannabis Store (OCS)* is a provincial agency that oversees and monitors legal cannabis distribution and retail operations in Ontario; in this capacity, it collects selected legal cannabis market data [28, 29]. We reported simple, sample-based prevalence or percentage values for the reported indicators for the total and select sub-populations for pre- and post-legalization data points as available. Corresponding further data details (e.g., 95% confidence intervals [95% CI]), and over-time changes are reported as indicated by the primary datasources' information.

Results

[for datasources utilized please refer to respective source references provided in the Methods].

Prevalence of cannabis use

In the NCS, the total prevalence of cannabis use (in the past 3 months) increased from 14.9% (95% CI: 14.1, 15.7) in 2018 (Quarter [Q]1-3) to 16.8% (95% CI: 16.1, 17.6) in 2019 (Q1-4), to 20.0% (95% CI: 18.3, 21.8) in

2020 (Q4). The prevalence of use in each survey was respectively highest in those aged 18–24 years (30.9% [95% CI: 26.2, 36.1]; 33.3% [95% CI: 29.0, 37.8] and 35.6% [95% CI: 27.1, 45.1]). Among respondents aged 15–17 (i.e., those under the legal cannabis purchase age), use prevalence was 19.8% (95% CI: 13.4, 28.3) in 2018, 10.4% (95% CI: 6.9, 15.3) in 2019 and 19.2% (95% CI: 10.0, 33.6) in 2020; however, these estimates did not significantly differ due to small sample sizes.

Among CCS respondents, cannabis use (in the past 12 months) increased from 21.9% (95% CI: 21.1, 22.6) in 2018 to 24.6% (95% CI: 23.7, 25.4) in 2019 and 26.9% (95% CI: 26.0, 27.9) in 2020. Cannabis use was highest in the age group of 20–24 years (43.8% [95% CI: 40.3, 47.3], 51.3% [95% CI: 48.8, 53.8] and 52.5% [95% CI: 50.1, 54.9]) and also increased among those aged 16–19 years from 36.5% (95% CI: 32.1, 41.1) in 2018, to 44.3% (95% CI: 40.7, 48.0) in 2019 and 43.5% (95% CI: 40.0, 47.1) in 2020.

In the CSTADS, cannabis use (in the past year) remained steady from 16.7% (95% CI: 15.5, 17.9) in 2016/17 to 18.1% (95% CI: 16.3, 19.8) in 2018/19. Cannabis use, however, increased among younger students in grades 7–9 (e.g., ages 13 – 15; from 5.5% [95% CI: 5.0, 6.1] in 2016/17 to 7.0% [95% CI: 6.2, 7.8] in 2018/19), while it remained unchanged in older students in grades 10–12 (27.8% [95% CI: 25.6, 29.9] in 2016/17 to 29.4% [95% CI: 26.2, 32.5] in 2018/19).

In the CAMH Monitor, the prevalence of cannabis use (in the past year) increased from 19.4% (95% CI: 17.3, 21.7) in 2017 to 25.6% (95% CI: 23.5, 27.7) in 2019. The prevalence of use was highest among 18–29-year-olds (39.1% [95% CI: 32.5, 46.1] to 45.5% [95% CI: 39.7, 51.4]). All age groups showed increasing trends in use between 2017 and 2019.

Frequency of use

In the NCS, ‘daily/near-daily’ use of cannabis remained steady from 5.9% (95% CI: 5.4, 6.5) in 2018 (Q1–3) to 6.0% (95% CI: 5.5, 6.5) in 2019 (Q1–4) yet then increased to 7.9% (95% CI: 6.8, 9.2) in 2020 (Q4), based on the total respondent sample. On this basis, respectively corresponding estimates of the percentages of active cannabis users with daily/near daily use (e.g., 39.6, 35.7, 39.5%; these data not reported by the datasource) would be similar. In 2020 (Q4), the prevalence of daily/near-daily cannabis use in the total survey population was higher in those aged 18–24 years (16.3% [95% CI: 10.6, 24.3]) and in those aged 25–44 years (10.8% [95% CI: 8.5, 13.6]), than those 45 years and up (4.6% [95% CI: 3.8, 5.5] (respectively corresponding percentage estimates among active users would be 45.8, 35.6, 43.8%; these data not reported by the datasource).

In the CCS, the proportion of active cannabis users (past 12 months) who reported daily/near-daily use remained unchanged at: 24.9% (95% CI: 23.2, 26.7) in 2018, 23.9% (95% CI: 22.3, 25.5) in 2019 and 24.8% (95% CI: 23.2, 26.6) in 2020. In 2020, the rate of daily or almost daily use was highest among males (21.0% [95% CI: 18.9, 23.2]) and people aged 25+ years (18.4% [95% CI: 16.7, 20.3]).

In the CAMH Monitor, the rate of past-year cannabis users who endorsed a moderate or high-risk of cannabis use problems score on the Alcohol, Smoking, Substance Involvement Screening Test-Cannabis Involvement Score (ASSIST-CIS; which includes ‘frequency’ of use as one indicator) was measured at 53.3% (95% CI: 45.0, 61.3) in 2017 and 57.9% (95% CI: 51.7, 63.8) in 2019.

Methods of consumption

In the NCS, ‘smoking’ remained the most common method of cannabis use (65.3% [95% CI: 60.6, 69.8] in Q1/2019 to 58.3% [95% CI: 53.3, 63.2] in Q4/2020), followed by consumption of ‘food or drink’ products (13.2% [95% CI: 10.1, 17.1] to 18.6% [95% CI: 14.8, 23.2]) and ‘vaping’ (12.9% [95% CI: 9.9, 16.6] to 11.9% [95% CI: 9.0, 15.4]). The correspondingly most common cannabis products consumed were ‘dried flower or leaf’ (79.2% [95% CI: 74.8, 83.0] in Q1/2018; 77.6% [95% CI: 73.9, 80.9] in Q1/2019; 70.9% [95% CI: 73.9, 80.9] in Q1/2020), ‘edibles’ (31.8% [95% CI: 27.1, 36.9], 29.1% [95% CI: 24.6, 34.0], 41.4% [95% CI: 36.5, 46.4]) and cannabis cartridges/vape pens (19.5% [95% CI: 15.3, 24.4], 18.4% [14.9, 22.6], 23.2% [95% CI: 19.3, 27.5]).

In the CCS, the prevalence of cannabis smoking decreased from 88.6% (95% CI: 87.3, 89.8) in 2018 to 84.0% (95% CI: 82.5, 85.4) in 2019 and 79.2% (95% CI: 77.6, 80.8) in 2020 but remained the overall most popular method of use. Conversely, ingesting cannabis products (e.g., as food/drink products) increased from 43% in 2018 to 48% in 2019 and 53% in 2020. Vaping cannabis (e.g., with a vape-pen or vaporizer) increased from 33% in 2018 to 36% in 2019, and decreased to 31% in 2020.

Among CSTADS’ Grade 7–12 students who used cannabis in the past year, ‘smoking’ cannabis (e.g., in a ‘joint’) was the most commonly reported method of use, although it decreased from 79.9% (95% CI: 78.6, 81.1) in 2016/17 to 76.4% (95% CI: 74.0, 78.8) in 2018/19. Conversely, the use of edibles increased from 34.2% (95% CI: 32.4, 36.1) to 45.4% (95% CI: 41.7, 49.2) alongside increases in the percentages of those reporting vaporizing/vaping (29.7% [95% CI: 27.6, 31.7] to 42.1% [95% CI: 38.5, 45.7]), and ‘dabbing’ (21.8% [95% CI: 20.4, 23.3] to 27.8% [95% CI: 25.5, 30.5]) in this period.

In the CAMH Monitor, smoking cannabis in a ‘joint’ (77.5% [95% CI: 70.22, 83.48] in 2017 to 79.2% [95% CI: 75.38, 83.02] in 2019), consuming cannabis as a food

product (48.0% [95% CI: 39.96, 56.16] to 50.4% [95% CI: 45.60, 55.27]) and inhaling cannabis with a vaporizer [95% CI: 29.61, 42.12] to 32.6% [95% CI: 28.23, 37.29]) all remained steady as the most common modes of use among past-year users.

The OCS reported total sales of 30,000,000 g of legal cannabis products for Q3/2020, an increase of 16% over the previous quarter. The leading cannabis products by sales volume were 'dried flower' (57.4%), 'vape' products (15.7%), 'pre-rolls' (12.5%) and 'edible' products (4.5%).

Driving after cannabis use

In the NCS, the prevalence of individuals who reported driving a vehicle within 2 h of cannabis consumption (in past 3 months) remained unchanged from 14.2% (95% CI: 12.1, 16.6) in Q1-Q3/2018 to 13.2% (95% CI: 11.4, 15.3) in Q1-4/2019.

In the CCS, the proportion of respondents reporting that they drove a vehicle after cannabis use in the past year (measured as within 2 h of any cannabis use in 2018, and 2 h after smoking/vaping and 4 h of ingesting a cannabis product in 2019 and 2020) decreased from 27% in 2018 to 24% in 2019 and 19% in 2020. This indicator appears to have decreased in each age sub-group.

In the CAMH Monitor, the proportion of adults in Ontario holding a driver's license who drove a vehicle within 1 h of consuming cannabis (in the past year) was measured at 2.6% (95% CI: 1.7, 4.0) in 2017 and 3.1% (95% CI: 2.2, 4.3) in 2019. On assumption (made by the authors) that all persons who self-reported cannabis use also held a driver's licence, these rates would translate to 13.4% (2017) and 12.1% (2019) of past-year cannabis users, respectively.

Cannabis sourcing

In the NCS, the percentages of cannabis users who obtained cannabis from illegal sources (e.g., dealers) declined over time from 51.3% (95% CI: 46.1, 56.5) in Q1/2018 to 38.1% (95% CI: 33.7, 42.7) in Q1/2019 and 35.4% (95% CI: 30.6, 40.5) in Q1/2020. The same was the case for those sourcing their cannabis from 'friends and family' (47.0% [95% CI: 41.8, 52.4], 37.0% [95% CI: 32.4, 41.9], 28.6% [95% CI: 24.0, 33.6]). Conversely, the proportions obtaining cannabis from legal sources increased (22.9% [95% CI: 18.8, 27.6], 47.4% [95% CI: 42.6, 52.2], 68.4% [95% CI: 63.8, 72.7]) as did those who grew their own or had it grown for them by someone else (8.0% [95% CI: 5.6, 11.3], 9.0% [95% CI: 6.4, 12.6], 14.2% [95% CI: 10.7, 18.6]). In 2020, the use of illegal sources remained highest among younger persons (ages 18-24 years; 56.6% [95% CI: 41.2, 70.8]) and legal sources were most commonly used by those aged 25-44 years (76.2% [95% CI: 70.4, 81.1]) and 45 years and older (68.1% [95% CI: 61.6, 74.0]).

In the CCS, the proportion of active cannabis users (past 12 months) who indicated that their usual source of cannabis was a 'legal storefront' increased from 24.5% (95% CI: 22.9, 26.1) in 2019 to 40.6% (95% CI: 38.7, 42.6) in 2020, while the percentage of those whose source was a 'legal online source' remained steady at 12.8% (95% CI: 11.5, 14.2) and 13.3% (95% CI: 12.0, 14.7), respectively.

In the ICPS, 47.7% of Canadian adult purchasers of dried cannabis flower products reported in fall 2019 that their last purchase was from a legal source, with, however, considerable inter-provincial variation (40.5–81.2%). The likelihood of purchasing a legal dried flower product was associated with distance (e.g., < 3 km; 1.56, 95% CI: 1.20, 2.02) and travel time (e.g., < 5 mins; 2.24 [1.56, 3.21]) to a legal outlet and with the frequency of cannabis use ($p < .001$ among daily/near-daily users compared to less-than-monthly users).

Among CSTADS' secondary student respondents, who are below minimum age for cannabis use and purchasing under legalization, similar percentages (39% in 2016/17 to 40% in 2018/19) reported that cannabis would be "fairly easy" or "very easy" to obtain. The percentage who thought it would be "very or fairly difficult" to obtain cannabis decreased (46 to 42%). Moreover, in 2018/19, reflecting answers only from those respondents in the total sample who had previously obtained cannabis, about 4% indicated that it has been "easier"; less than 1% indicated it has been "harder" and 12% indicated that it has been "neither easier, nor harder" to obtain cannabis since legalization of cannabis use and sales for adults.

Based on cannabis market data from the OCS, the overall share of cannabis sales from legal sources in Ontario increased from 4.6% in Q4/2018 to 24.7% in Q4/2019 and 40.3% in Q3/2020.

Discussion

The legalization of non-medical cannabis use in Canada occurred approximately three years ago. The Canadian population's support of the policy has grown to a substantive majority (> 70%), but it is too early for a comprehensive assessment as to whether legalization in Canada has been a 'successful' policy experiment in achieving its public health and safety policy goals [2, 30]. Legalization requires time to be fully implemented and its effects to be assessed, but assessments to emerge are unlikely to be unequivocal or conclusive [5, 15, 31]. We provided an assessment of selected population-based cannabis use and supply indicators relevant to its public health and public safety outcomes from pre- to post-legalization. While the evolution of these indicators occurred over-time and is associated with legalization as a fundamental policy reform, the observations are mainly ecological in nature and possible changes do not allow

for causal attribution to the intervention. Other, secular or independent factors may have driven or contributed to the developments observed.

On the basis of these data, we offer the following ‘big picture’ observations. First, the prevalence of cannabis use in the population may have slightly increased following legalization in Canada – a jurisdiction which already had a comparably high prevalence of use prior to legalization. The increases observed seem to have arisen primarily in mid- and older-age users but may also have occurred in those under the legal age of use, i.e., youth/teenagers whose access to cannabis was intended to be reduced by legalization. Such developments are confirmed by the international Tobacco Control Evaluation Project, where cannabis use (in the past 12 months) among respondents ages 16 to 19 years in Canada was found to have significantly increased between 2017 and 2019 (AOR 1.28, 95% CI 1.15–1.43; $p < 0.0001$) [32]. Several of the increases in use also appear to extend pre-legalization trends. For example, in the CAMH Monitor, the increases observed in cannabis use for most age groups 2017 - 2019 extend pre-existing increase trends over multiple years prior to 2017. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has been associated with increases in psychoactive substance use, including cannabis, that may have contributed to increases observed in 2020 data [33].

Second, there were no substantive signals of increases in daily- or near-daily use among current cannabis users, a primary predictor of increased risk of cannabis-related health harms (e.g., mental health and dependence) [34, 35]. However, these rates already have stood at comparably high levels on a population basis. Similarly, no discernable increases were noted in the population-prevalence of cannabis-impaired driving. Driving under the influence of cannabis is an important outcome indicator for public health because it increases the risk for motor-vehicle collisions and related injuries/deaths that represent a well-documented principal contributors to the cannabis-related burden of disease [36, 37]. This suggests that new deterrence-oriented legislation implemented with legalization and targeted prevention messaging in this area may have been effective [38, 39].

Third, there has been a steady diversification of modes of cannabis use and related cannabis products [40, 41]. While ‘smoking’ cannabis (e.g., ‘joints’) remains the predominant route of use among cannabis users in Canada, its overall share has been declining in favour of alternative use modes (e.g., vaping, edible use) and products (e.g., vape oils and edibles), all of which are legally supplied through the Canadian retail system established by legalization. Increases in these alternative use modes have also been reported by other Canadian study samples, including adolescent users [32]. These

developments may lead to reductions in chronic health risks from cannabis smoking for users and others, while bringing possibly different challenges associated with alternative use modes (e.g., delayed effect onset and possible over-use/impairment from edibles, pulmonary health risks from vaping products) [42–44].

Fourth, there is evidence that Canadian cannabis users have been making a steady transition from ‘illegal’ to ‘legal’ sources of cannabis products. It is plausibly assumed that after 2020, the substantial majority of users will have been utilizing ‘legal’ sources to obtain their cannabis. As expected, it has been a gradual process for cannabis consumers to adjust to and embrace the availability of legal cannabis distribution after decades of forced reliance on the illegal cannabis market and supply [27, 45]. The percentage of users who access legal sources has also hinged on the gradual ramp-up and increasing availability of legal cannabis retail infrastructure and outlets, which has varied considerably across Canada [46, 47]. Increased legal cannabis access can be assumed to translate into ‘safer’ product use and related public health benefits based on the use of regulated, quality-controlled products [48]. On the other hand, the cannabis supply dynamics observed suggest that under-age cannabis users do not seem to experience increased difficulty with or reductions in access to cannabis products in the evolving reality contexts of legalization.

Conclusions

The indicators reported are mostly drawn from population surveys based on self-report data, with limitations in sampling parameters, response rates and possible self-report biases, as well as inter-indicator differences in indicator specifics. Over the next years, additional data are expected to become available on key outcomes of cannabis legalization in Canada, adding to the evolving evidence base for assessing this ongoing policy reform experiment. Such assessments urgently require other essential indicators, including cannabis-related hospitalizations (e.g., for mental health problems), treatment admissions for cannabis use disorders and cannabis-related MVCs/injuries because these indicators represent principal drivers of cannabis-attributable burden of disease [14, 37, 49]. The preliminary data on cannabis legalization from Canada reflect some similar developments from the US, for example in regards to select increases in use and diversification in use methods yet an absence in clear change trends for driving under the influence of cannabis in legalization settings [7, 40, 50]. For now, currently available indicators suggest a ‘mixed picture’ of outcomes associated with legalization in Canada, including continuing high use rates of use among youth who are more vulnerable to adverse health effects of cannabis use than others and may be subject

to punishments for ongoing use under legalization contexts [51, 52]. On this basis, policy efforts going forward should probably focus on measures and tools to reduce cannabis-related harms among under-age users, as well as reducing primary risk factors associated with adverse health outcomes among cannabis users generally (e.g., high-frequency use, risky use modes or products, cannabis-impaired driving, as furthermore defined in the ‘Lower-Risk Cannabis Use Guidelines’) mainly responsible for adverse public health impacts [53, 54].

Abbreviations

US: United States; NCS: National Cannabis Survey; CCS: Canadian Cannabis Survey; CAMH: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health; CSTADS: Canadian Student Tobacco, Alcohol and Drug Survey; ICPS: The International Cannabis Policy Study; OCS: Ontario Cannabis Store; Q: Quarter; MVC: Motor Vehicle Collision

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

BF developed the concept of the paper. AL and BF conducted the primary indicator data identification, extraction and assembly; WH and TR contributed to data analysis and interpretation. BF led the manuscript draft writing; all authors contributed substantive intellectual contributions and to editing of iterative manuscript drafts. All authors approved the final manuscript draft submitted.

Funding

Prof. Fischer acknowledges research support from the Hugh Green Foundation Chair in Addiction Research, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland. He has received research grant and contract support in the areas of cannabis, health and policy from public funding and government agencies.

Availability of data and materials

This manuscript reports on publicly available data.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health and Addiction (CARMHA), Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Suite 2400 515 W. Hastings Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6B 5K3, Canada. ²Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, 250 College Street 8th floor, Toronto, Ontario M5T 1R8, Canada. ³Department of Psychiatry, Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP), R. Dr. Ovidio Pires de Campos, São Paulo 785 05403-90, Brazil. ⁴Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence & Impact, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ⁵Centre for Youth Substance Abuse Research (CYSAR), 19 Upland Rd, St. Lucia, Queensland 4072, Australia. ⁶National Addiction Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, UK

Accepted: 7 September 2021

Published online: 07 October 2021

References

- Hammond D, Goodman S, Wadsworth E, Rynard V, Boudreau C, Hall W. Evaluating the impacts of cannabis legalization: The International Cannabis Policy Study. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2020;77:102698.
- Fischer B, Russell C, Boyd N. A century of cannabis control in Canada: A brief overview of history, context and policy frameworks from prohibition to legalization. 2020. In: *legalizing Cannabis: experiences, lessons, and scenarios*. Routledge; 89-115.
- Decorte T, Lenton S, Wilkins C. *Legalizing Cannabis: experiences, lessons and scenarios*. 1st ed. London: Routledge; 2020.
- Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction. Policy and Regulations (Cannabis) [Interactive Map]. Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction; 2020. Available: <https://www.ccsa.ca/policy-and-regulations-cannabis>. Accessed: July 1, 2021.
- Hall W, Lynskey M. Assessing the public health impacts of legalizing recreational cannabis use: the US experience. *World Psychiatry*. 2020;19(2):179–86.
- Hall W, Stjepanović D, Caulkins JP, Lynskey M, Leung J, Campbell G, et al. Public health implications of legalising the production and sale of cannabis for medicinal and recreational use. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10208):1580–90.
- Cerda M, Mauro C, Hamilton A, Levy NS, Santaella-Tenorio J, Hasin D, et al. Association between recreational marijuana legalization in the United States and changes in marijuana use and Cannabis use disorder from 2008 to 2016. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2020;77(2):165–71.
- Smart R, Pacula RL. Early evidence of the impact of cannabis legalization on cannabis use, cannabis use disorder, and the use of other substances: findings from state policy evaluations. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2019;45(6):644–63.
- Mennis J, Stahler GJ. Adolescent treatment admissions for marijuana following recreational legalization in Colorado and Washington. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2020;210:107960.
- Santaella-Tenorio J, Wheeler-Martin K, DiMaggio CJ, Castillo-Carniglia A, Keyes KM, Hasin D, et al. Association of recreational Cannabis laws in Colorado and Washington state with changes in traffic fatalities, 2005-2017. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020;180(8):1061–8.
- Laqueur H, Rivera-Aguirre A, Shev A, Castillo-Carniglia A, Rudolph KE, Ramirez J, et al. The impact of cannabis legalization in Uruguay on adolescent cannabis use. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2020;80:102748.
- Nazif-Munoz JI, Oulhote Y, Ouimet MC. The association between legalization of cannabis use and traffic deaths in Uruguay. *Addiction*. 2020;115(9):1697–706.
- Queirolo R. The effects of recreational cannabis legalization might depend upon the policy model. *World Psychiatry*. 2020;19(2):195.
- Fischer B, Russell C, Rehm J, Leece P. Assessing the public health impact of cannabis legalization in Canada: core outcome indicators towards an 'index' for monitoring and evaluation. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2019;41(2):412–21.
- Fischer B, Lee A, O'Keefe-Markman C, Hall W. Initial indicators of the public health impacts of non-medical cannabis legalization in Canada. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;20:100294.
- Statistics Canada. National Cannabis Survey: Statistics Canada; 2020. Available: <https://www23.statcan.gc.ca/imdb/p2SV.pl?Function=getSurvey&SDDS=5262>. Accessed 1 July 2021.
- Rotermann M. What has changed since cannabis was legalized? *Health Rep*. 2020;31(2):11–20.
- Rotermann M. Looking back from 2020, how cannabis use and related behaviours changed in Canada. *Health Rep*. 2021;32(4):3–14.
- Government of Canada. Canadian Cannabis Survey 2019 - Summary. Health Canada; 2019. Available: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/publications/drugs-health-products/canadian-cannabis-survey-2019-summary.html>. Accessed 1 July 2021.
- Government of Canada. Canadian Cannabis Survey 2020: Summary. Health Canada; 2020. Available: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-medication/cannabis/research-data/canadian-cannabis-survey-2020-summary.html>. Accessed 1 July 2021.
- Government of Canada. Canadian Cannabis Survey 2018 Summary. Health Canada; 2018. Available: <https://www.canada.ca/en/services/health/publications/drugs-health-products/canadian-cannabis-survey-2018-summary.html>. Accessed 1 July 2021.

22. Government of Canada. Cannabis Use For Non-medical Purposes Among Canadians (Aged 16+) 2020. Available: <https://health-infobase.canada.ca/cannabis/>. Accessed 1 July 2021.
23. Government of Canada. Summary of results for the Canadian Student Tobacco, Alcohol and Drugs Survey 2018-19: Government of Canada; 2019. Available: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/canadian-student-tobacco-alcohol-drugs-survey/2018-2019-summary.html>. Accessed 1 July 2021.
24. Government of Canada Detailed tables for the Canadian Student Tobacco, Alcohol and Drugs Survey 2018-2019: Government of Canada; 2019. Available: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/canadian-student-tobacco-alcohol-drugs-survey/2018-2019-detailed-tables.html>. Accessed 1 July 2021.
25. Government of Canada. Detailed tables for the Canadian Student Tobacco, Alcohol and Drugs Survey 2016-17: Government of Canada; 2017. Available: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/canadian-student-tobacco-alcohol-drugs-survey/2016-2017-supplementary-tables.html#shr-pg0>. Accessed: 1 July 2021.
26. Nigatu YT, Elton-Marshall T, M. AE, Ialomiteanu AR, Mann RE, Hamilton HA. CAMH Monitor e-Report: Substance Use, Mental Health and Well-Being Among Ontario Adults, 1977–2019. Toronto: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health; 2020.
27. Wadsworth E, Driezen P, Hammond D. Retail availability and legal purchases of dried flower in Canada post-legalization. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2021;225:108794.
28. Ontario Cannabis Store. A Quarterly Review: October 1 - December 31, 2020. 2020.
29. Ontario Cannabis Store. A Year in Review 2019-2020: Ontario's first full year of legal cannabis operations 2020.
30. Rehm J, Fischer B. Cannabis legalization with strict regulation, the overall superior policy option for public health. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2015;97(6):541–4.
31. Hall W, Lynskey M. Why it is probably too soon to assess the public health effects of legalisation of recreational cannabis use in the USA. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2016;3(9):900–6.
32. Hammond D, Wadsworth E, Reid J, Burkhalter R. Prevalence and modes of cannabis use among youth in Canada, England, and the US, 2017 to 2019. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2021;219:108505.
33. Bartel SJ, Sherry SB, Stewart SH. Self-isolation: a significant contributor to cannabis use during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Subst Abus.* 2020;41(4):409–12.
34. Fischer B, Russell C, Sabioni P, van den Brink W, Le Foll B, Hall W, et al. Lower-risk Cannabis use guidelines: a comprehensive update of evidence and recommendations. *Am J Public Health.* 2017;107(8):e1–e12.
35. Leung J, Chan GCK, Hides L, Hall WD. What is the prevalence and risk of cannabis use disorders among people who use cannabis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Addict Behav.* 2020;109:106479.
36. Preuss UW, Huestis MA, Schneider M, Hermann D, Lutz B, Hasan A, et al. Cannabis use and Car crashes: a review. *Front Psychiatry.* 2021;12:643315.
37. Imtiaz S, Shield KD, Roerecke M, Cheng J, Popova S, Kurdyak P, et al. The burden of disease attributable to cannabis use in Canada in 2012. *Addiction.* 2016;111(4):653–62.
38. Solomon R, Chamberlain E, Vandenbergh M. Canada's new Cannabis-related driving legislation: the elusive quest for an effective deterrent. *Can Criminal Law Review.* 2018;23(3):265–90.
39. Windle SB, Sequeira C, Filion KB, Thombs BD, Reynier P, Grad R, et al. Impaired driving and legalization of recreational cannabis. *CMAJ.* 2021;193(14):E481–E5.
40. Goodman S, Wadsworth E, Leos-Toro C, Hammond D, International Cannabis Policy Study team. Prevalence and forms of cannabis use in legal vs. illegal recreational cannabis markets. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2020;76:102658.
41. Russell C, Rueda S, Room R, Tyndall M, Fischer B. Routes of administration for cannabis use - basic prevalence and related health outcomes: a scoping review and synthesis. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2018;52:87–96.
42. Spindle TR, Bonn-Miller MQ, Vandrey R. Changing landscape of cannabis: novel products, formulations, and methods of administration. *Curr Opin Psychol.* 2019;30:98–102.
43. Spindle TR, Cone EJ, Goffi E, Weerts EM, Mitchell JM, Winecker RE, et al. Pharmacodynamic effects of vaporized and oral cannabidiol (CBD) and vaporized CBD-dominant cannabis in infrequent cannabis users. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2020;211:107937.
44. Tashkin DP, Roth MD. Pulmonary effects of inhaled cannabis smoke. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse.* 2019;45(6):596–609.
45. Mahamad S, Wadsworth E, Rynard V, Goodman S, Hammond D. Availability, retail price and potency of legal and illegal cannabis in Canada after recreational cannabis legalisation. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2020;39(4):337–46.
46. Statistics Canada. The retail cannabis market in Canada: a portrait of the first year. Statistics Canada; 2019.
47. Armstrong MJ. Legal cannabis market shares during Canada's first year of recreational legalisation. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2021;88:103028.
48. Montoya Z, Conroy M, Vanden Heuvel BD, Pauli CS, Park SH. Cannabis contaminants limit pharmacological use of Cannabidiol. *Front Pharmacol.* 2020;11:571832.
49. Degenhardt L, Ferrari AJ, Hall WD. The Global Epidemiology and Disease Burden of Cannabis Use and Dependence. *Handbook of Cannabis and Related Pathologies* 2017. p. 89-100.
50. Benedetti MH, Li L, Neuroth LM, Humphries KD, Brooks-Russell A, Zhu M. Self-reported driving after marijuana use in association with medical and recreational marijuana policies. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2021;92:102944.
51. Lorenzetti V, Hoch E, Hall W. Adolescent cannabis use, cognition, brain health and educational outcomes: a review of the evidence. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2020;36:169–80.
52. Fischer B, Bullen C, Elder H, Fidalgo TM. Considering the health and social welfare impacts of non-medical cannabis legalization. *World Psychiatry.* 2020;19(2):187–8.
53. Fischer B, Robinson T, Bullen C, Curran V, Jutras-Aswad D, Medina-Mora ME, et al. Lower-Risk Cannabis Use Guidelines (LRCUG) for reducing health harms from non-medical cannabis use: A comprehensive evidence and recommendations update. 2021:103381.
54. Lee C-R, Lee A, Goodman S, Hammond D, Fischer B. The lower-risk Cannabis use guidelines'(LRCUG) recommendations: how are Canadian cannabis users complying? *Prev Med Rep.* 2020;20:101187.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

