COMMENTARY Open Access



Too much or never enough: a response to Treatment of opioid disorders in Canada: looking at the 'other epidemic'

Joseph K. Eibl¹, Kristen A. Morin-Taus¹ and David C. Marsh^{1,2*}

Abstract

Prescription opioid (PO) misuse is a major health concern across North America, and it is the primary cause of preventable death for the 18–35 year old demographic. Medication assisted therapy including methadone and buprenorphine, is the standard of care for patients with opioid-dependence. Moreover, both of these medications are recognized as essential medicines by World Health Organization. In Ontario Canada, the availability of medication assisted therapy has expanded substantially, with almost a ten-fold increase number of patients accessing methadone in Ontario in the past decade. In their manuscript, Fischer et. al. (2016), present a view that expansion of opioid maintenance therapy (OMT) has outpaced true patient need and alternate strategies should be considered as first-line treatments. Here, we present a countering perspective-that medication assisted therapy, along with other harm reduction strategies, should be widely available to all opioid-dependent people as first-line treatments.

Background

Prescription opioid (PO) misuse is a major health concern across North America. Fueled by liberal PO prescribing during the late 1990's and 2000's, Ontario is often cited as a region suffering from a public health crisis due to PO-dependence [7, 11, 14]. Today, opioid-related overdose is the number one cause of death for 18–35 year olds in Ontario [12], and this trend holds true for Canada and the US [20]. Sadly, opioid-overdose deaths are far more likely to occur following abstinence-based treatment programs; and the reason is all too often relapse during a time of increased opioid sensitivity which follows detox [5]. In an effort to address the PO epidemic, some provinces in Canada have dramatically expanded access to medication assisted therapy, including the province of Ontario.

The evidence to support the efficacy of medication assisted treatment (e.g., methadone and buprenorphine) is well established for heroin-using patients [3], and due to the pharmacological similarities of prescription

opioids (including oxycodone) methadone and buprenorphine have demonstrated efficacy for prescription opioid users as well [4]. However, Fisher et. al., (2016) also question the generalizability of studies conducted in a heroin-using population to the prescription opioiddependent population.

Recently, the question has been raised, when is there too much medication assisted therapy? Fischer et. al., (2016) present a view that expansion of opioid maintenance therapy (OMT) has outpaced true patient need for treatment in the province of Ontario, Canada. Further, the authors imply that economic incentives surrounding delivery of methadone have 'unduly influenced the expansion of OMT in Ontario'. Finally, the authors argue towards a continuum-of-care which focuses on alternatives to maintenance therapy citing taper, detox and abstinence-based approaches as alternatives for a subset of prescription-opioid dependent patients. Here, we argue against the position that OMT capacity has expanded beyond need; and we believe that significant need still exists, especially in Northern and rural regions of the province [9, 13].

²Canadian Addiction Treatment Centers, 13291 Yonge St., Ste. 403, Richmond Hill, Ontario L4E 4L6, Canada



^{*} Correspondence: dmarsh@nosm.ca

¹Northern Ontario School of Medicine, 935 Ramsey Lake Rd, Sudbury, Ontario P3E 2C6, Canada

Commentary

For the majority of opioid-dependent patients, the evidence overwhelmingly supports OMT [4, 6, 16], and tapering strategies using methadone or buprenorphine have a very low probability of resulting in long term abstinence for opioid-dependent patients [18, 23]. In fact, we have previously shown that most patients who attempt to taper off methadone relapse within 12 months Fundamentally, the neurology of dependence is similar between heroin and other prescription opioids, and it is not comparable to other substance use disorders due to the profound neural rewiring which occurs following prolonged opioid use [25]. The literature strongly supports OMT for opioiddependence treatment of heroin and/or prescription opioid-dependence [16, 17]. Thus, OMT remains the clinical strategy with the best evidence for long term patient safety, social stabilization, and long-term health benefit - it is for this reason that both methadone and buprenorphine are recognized by the World Health Organization as essential medicines [24]. We are not arguing that methadone and/or buprenorphine are perfect, but for the majority of patients seeking treatment with the hope of stabilizing the impact dependence has had on their quality of life, OMT is the best option. In fact, a recent review of studies on OMT has shown this approach is even more effective for those using PO than for heroin users [15].

As suggested by Fisher et al., (2016), alternate approaches including tapering, counselling and psychosocial interventions may also have benefit; but again the evidence suggest that on their own, these treatment strategies are not as effective as OMT [1, 2]. With respect to programs which offer a combination of medication and counselling, evidence suggests that outcomes are not much better than OMT alone [1, 3, 21]. Other reports find some patients may benefit from coordination of OMT and psychosocial supports, but as Fisher et al., (2016) highlight, more research is needed to know which patients will benefit from more integrated treatment strategies [8]. Although a combination of psychosocial interventions and OMT may be as effective as OMT alone, Amato et al. [1] argue that provision of OMT should not be abandoned in the absence of resources for additional psycho-social treatment.

Quantifying the absolute need of OMT is challenging. However, reliable surrogates such as overdose-related deaths, overdose-related hospitalizations, and generalized opioid prescribing are all reasonable markers which support the burden and need for opioid-dependence treatment. Across North America there is an acknowledged need to expand methadone (and/or buprenorphine) programming [22]. Fisher et al., (2016) argue that Ontario's methadone capacity exceeds that of the United

States; yet, Norah Volkow, the Director of the National Institute for Drug Abuse in the US recently stated that expanding access to medication assisted treatment is a critical part of strategy to deal with the epidemic of prescription-opioid overdose in a recent article in NEJM [25]. Thus, comparing Ontario to the US does not seem a fair comparison.

In an earlier paper calling for expansion of MMT in Ontario, Fischer and colleagues used European countries and Australia, rather than the US, as a standard that would set the target for treatment availability in Ontario; a goal which is at least two-fold higher than the US comparison in the current paper [10]. Fisher et. al., (2016) also argue that the levels of OMT provision are disproportionate to other regions in Canada; however, British Columbia, Saskatchewan, and New Brunswick have a comparable proportion and patients receiving OMT (2015 public data).

Several factors limit our collective ability to expand methadone programming due to the resource intensive nature of observed dosing treatment. Perhaps the largest barrier to expansion is the availability of methadone trained addiction specialists. Recent advances in telemedicine have disrupted the most cumbersome barrier to expansion by allowing a given physician to service a number of specialized clinics in a broad geographic area both urban and rural via telemedicine; and Ontario is at the forefront of telemedicine-delivered OMT. We believe this a trend that will be adopted by other provinces and countries in the near future.

In the face of continued increases in opioid overdose deaths and other negative consequences of untreated opioid-dependence, OMT is still expanding in Ontario (and Canada); yet, there are still long wait lists and patients having to travel over 100 km to the nearest clinic in rural regions of the province [9]. Like most issues in medicine, we encourage policy makers, payers, and providers to use a comprehensive assessment of evidence across urban, rural, and remote geographies to inform measures which may disproportionately disadvantage patients who are already marginalized by geographic, political, and structural factors beyond their control.

Conclusions

We support the Fisher et. al., (2016) recommendation that there is a need for more high quality epidemiological surveillance data to quantify and inform resource allocation towards treatment of opioid-dependence. However, we strongly oppose the implication that OMT programming is sufficiently serviced in the province of Ontario, especially in northern and rural regions. Moreover, the absence of robust data, which Fisher et. al., (2016) assert is lacking for other treatment options, is

not a reason to reduce the availability of our most effective treatment at a time when people are dying from a public health crisis. It is our opinion that more resources should be allocated towards understanding and developing comprehensive care models which improve the overall health outcomes of this complex patient population including expanded opioid maintenance treatment programming, especially in rural and remote regions of the country.

Acknowledgements

The primary author (JKE) received funding as a successful recipient of the 2014–2015 CANOC Scholarship Awards Programme; (this programme is supported through the Centres Grant [CIHR 711314]).

Authors' contributions

JKE, KMT, and DCM conceived of and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

DCM is the Deputy Dean of the Northern Ontario School of Medicine and the Medical Director for the Canadian Addiction Treatment Centers.

Received: 18 April 2016 Accepted: 8 September 2016 Published online: 20 September 2016

References

- Amato L, Minozzi S, Davoli M, Vecchi S. Psychosocial combined with agonist maintenance treatments versus agonist maintenance treatments alone for treatment of opioid dependence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;10: CD004147. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004147.pub4.
- Amato L, Minozzi S, Davoli M, Vecchi S, Ferri M, Mayet S. Psychosocial combined with agonist maintenance treatments versus agonist maintenance treatments alone for treatment of opioid dependence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004;4:CD004147. doi:10.1002/14651858. CD004147.pub2.
- Ball J, Ross A. The effectiveness of methadone maintenance treatment. New York: Springer; 1991.
- Banta-Green CJ, Maynard C, Koepsell TD, Wells EA, Donovan DM. Retention in methadone maintenance drug treatment for prescription-type opioid primary users compared to heroin users. Addiction. 2009;104:775–83.
- Cornish R, Macleod J, Strang J, Vickerman P, Hickman M. Risk of death during and after opiate substitution treatment in primary care: prospective observational study in UK General Practice Research Database. BMJ. 2010; 341:r5475
- Dennis BB, Naji L, Bawor M, Bonner A, Varenbut M, Daiter J, Plater C, Pare G, Marsh DC, Worster A, Desai D, Samaan Z, Thabane L. The effectiveness of opioid substitution treatments for patients with opioid dependence: a systematic review and multiple treatment comparison protocol. Syst Rev. 2014;3:105.
- Dhalla IA, Mamdani MM, Sivilotti ML, Kopp A, Qureshi O, Juurlink DN. Prescribing of opioid analgesics and related mortality before and after the introduction of long-acting oxycodone. CMAJ. 2009;181:891–6.
- Dugosh K, Abraham A, Seymour B, McLoyd K, Chalk M, Festinger D. A systematic review on the use of psychosocial interventions in conjunction with medications for the treatment of Opioid addiction. J Addict Med. 2016; 10:91–101.
- Eibl JK, Gomes T, Martins D, Camacho X, Juurlink DN, Mamdani MM, Dhalla IA, Marsh DC. Evaluating the effectiveness of first-time methadone maintenance therapy across Northern, Rural, and Urban Regions of Ontario, Canada. J Addict Med. 2015;9:440–6.
- Fischer B, Rehm J. The case for a heroin substitution treatment trial in Canada. Can J Public Health. 1997;88:367–70.
- Gomes T, Juurlink D, Moineddin R, Gozdyra P, Dhalla I, Paterson M, Mamdani M. Geographical variation in opioid prescribing and opioid-related mortality in Ontario. Healthc Q. 2011;14:22–4.
- Gomes T, Mamdani MM, Dhalla IA, Cornish S, Paterson JM, Juurlink DN. The burden of premature opioid-related mortality. Addiction. 2014;109:1482–8.

- Kiepek N, Hancock L, Toppozini D, Cromarty H, Morgan A, Kelly L. Facilitating medical withdrawal from opiates in rural Ontario. Rural Remote Health. 2012;12:2193.
- 14. Lynas K. Ontario pharmacists concerned about the risks arising from approval of generic OxyContin. Can Pharm J. 2013;146:12–3.
- Main F, Kelly L. Systematic literature review on buprenorphine/naloxone use in outpatient opioid dependence treatment. Can J Addict. 2016;7:12–8.
- Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Methadone maintenance therapy versus no opioid replacement therapy for opioid dependence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;3:CD002209. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002209.pub2.
- Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioid dependence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;2:CD002207.
- Northrup TF, Stotts AL, Green C, Potter JS, Marino EN, Walker R, Weiss RD, Trivedi M. Opioid withdrawal, craving, and use during and after outpatient buprenorphine stabilization and taper: a discrete survival and growth mixture model. Addict Behav. 2015;41:20–8.
- Nosyk B, Sun H, Evans E, Marsh DC, Anglin MD, Hser YI, Anis AH. Defining dosing pattern characteristics of successful tapers following methadone maintenance treatment: results from a population-based retrospective cohort study. Addiction. 2012;107:1621–9.
- Park H, Bloch M. How the epidemic of drug overdose deaths ripples across America. In: New York Times. 2016.
- 21. Timko C, Schultz NR, Cucciare MA, Vittorio L, Garrison-Diehn C. Retention in medication-assisted treatment for opiate dependence: A systematic review. J Addict Dis. 2016;35:22–35.
- Volkow ND, Frieden TR, Hyde PS, Cha SS. Medication-assisted therapies– tackling the opioid-overdose epidemic. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:2063–6.
- Weiss RD, Potter JS, Griffin ML, Provost SE, Fitzmaurice GM, McDermott KA, Srisarajivakul EN, Dodd DR, Dreifuss JA, McHugh RK, Carroll KM. Long-term outcomes from the National Drug Abuse Treatment Clinical Trials Network Prescription Opioid Addiction Treatment Study. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2015; 150:112-9.
- WHO. WHO model list of essential medicines. 18th ed. Geneva: World Healh Organization; 2013.
- Younger JW, Chu LF, D'Arcy NT, Trott KE, Jastrzab LE, Mackey SC. Prescription opioid analgesics rapidly change the human brain. Pain. 2011; 152:1803–10.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

